DOOKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of

Allocation of Spectrum Below 5 GHz Transferred from Federal Government Use

To: The Commission

ET Docket No. 94-32

SUN 1 4 1004

COMMENTS OF

MAJOR CITIES POLICE CHIEFS ASSOCIATION OA

1. The Major Cities Police Chiefs Association (Major Cities), organization of Chiefs of Police of the 45 most populous cities in the United States, submits these comments in the ET Docket No. 94-32 Notice of Inquiry (NOI)¹ with reference to Footnote 21 of the Inquiry in which the Commission includes for consideration in the proceeding the Petition for Rule Making by the Coalition of Private Users of Emerging Multimedia Technologies (COPE)².

Purpose of NOI

2. The purpose of the NOI, according to the Commission, is to seek information on potential applications for 50 megahertz of spectrum in the bands 2390-2400 MHz, 2402-2417 MHz and 4660-4685 MHz which has been identified by the National Telecommunications &

² Filed with the Commission on December 23, 1993.



¹ Adopted by the Commission on April 20, 1994 and released by the Commission on May 4, 1994.

Information Administration (NTIA)³ for immediate transfer from Federal Government to private sector use in accordance with the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993⁴. The Reconciliation Act requires that the Commission adopt regulations to allocate, and proposed regulations to assign, this first 50 megahertz of spectrum by February 10, 1995.

3. The Commission asks that comments in response to the NOI focus generally on the 50 MHz identified in the three above bands rather than on an additional 150 MHz in seven other bands identified by NTIA in its Preliminary Spectrum Reallocation Plan as reallocation targets in subsequent actions in 1996, 1997, 1999 and 2004. These bands are: 1390-1400 MHz; 1427-1432 MHz; 1670-1675 MHz; 1710-1755 MHz; 2300-2310 MHz; 3650-3700 MHz; and 4635-4660 MHz.

Public Safety Recognition

4. The NOI recognizes that: "The Reconciliation Act has reaffirmed the on-going responsibility of the Commission to consider the spectrum and interoperability needs of the public safety community when making spectrum allocation decisions. We request comment on the utility for public safety communications systems of the spectrum identified for immediate reallocation, including comment on the degree to which the proposed spectrum could help increase the ability of all public safety workers to

³ Preliminary Spectrum Reallocation Report, U.S. Department of Commerce, NTIA Special Publication 94-27, February, 1994 (Commerce Report).

⁴ Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993, Pub. L. No. 103-66, Title VI, 6001(a)(3), signed into law August 10, 1993.

communicate with each other. "5

5. Further, the MOI notes that: "The Reconciliation Act requires that the FCC, by February 1995, complete a study of current and future spectrum needs of public safety agencies through the year 2010 and develop a specific plan to satisfy those needs. The Act also requires that, at least biannually, the Chairman of the FCC and the Assistant Secretary of Commerce shall meet to conduct joint spectrum planning with respect to, among other issues, the future spectrum requirements for public safety agencies and spectrum allocation actions necessary to accommodate those needs."

Major Cities' Position

- 6. Both as members of the International Association of Chiefs of Police and as an individual entity, the Major Cities Chiefs have participated in the Congressional hearing processes which have resulted in the legislation that now, finally, requires NTIA to turn over some spectrum for non-Federal uses. Unfortunately, from the perspective of the law enforcement agencies in the major population centers of the United States and the people whose lives and property they are required to protect, NTIA's Preliminary Plan falls far short of meaningful progress.
- 7. The spectrum "relief" for public safety being considered in the NOI in ET Docket No. 94-32 will not provide the spectrum basis for the advanced technology tools which the law enforcement

⁵ NOI, 9(f).

^{&#}x27;NOI, Footnote 24.

community needs, particularly in the major metropolitan areas where they are most needed. It will most certainly not be of help with respect to the mandate to the Commission to ". . .by February 1995, complete a study of current and future spectrum needs of public safety agencies through the year 2010 and develop a specific plan to satisfy those needs." And it will not increase the ability of urban public safety workers to communicate with each other, since it will not sustain communications systems which law enforcement agencies are likely to buy.

New Services

- 8. The Major Cities communications departments will undoubtedly be the testing and implementation grounds for non-Federal high technology law enforcement and other public safety communications products and services. These services will include:
 - Enhanced and expanded video and photographic surveillance

 -- in color -- such as is being used by the Federal

 Bureau of Investigation.
 - O Capability to tie into the FBI's upgraded NCIC-2000

 National Crime Information Center system for the image transmission of fingerprints, mugshots, stolen objects, contraband and personal property between a police patrol and FBI Headquarters. The current architecture calls for the FBI to deliver these features to the State nodes. Distribution to individual agencies and their field units will be up to State and local government entities.
 - o Capability to connect to four existing or planned Imaging

Centers at FBI field offices in Atlanta, Chicago, New York and San Francisco which can quickly produce graphics of suspected criminals for dissemination to the local police and others.

- o Ability to meet the growing need for computer aided dispatching, Enhanced 9-1-1, and field office data access and analysis stemming from market acceptance for data, lap top computers, automatic vehicle location systems, imaging/facsimile equipment, and direct visual communications. The latter in itself will generate a new need for 35% more radio channel capacity.
- o Greater capability to provide response services in the developing Intelligent Vehicle Highway System.
- o Enhanced dispatch and telephone interconnect capabilities.
- o Enhanced decision support capabilities by enabling high speed interaction between field support personnel and central data files.

COPE Petition

- 9. A similar catalogue of new services, each responsive to the market sector's requirements, is on tap for the other groups of private users participating in the COPE petition, all subject to frequency availability.
- 10. Carrier-provided PCS service will not meet law enforcement's communications requirements in large cities for a number of reasons, including:

- o System access time is beyond that required for police communications.
- o Field unit density. Carriers may not provide service in all areas where there is little usage, tunnels, inbuilding, etc.
- o Law enforcement systems require multi-levels of redundancy.
- o Public carrier systems go into overload (blocking) during emergencies.
- o Urban police must be able to set and change priorities and groupings at will.
- o Police must have unit-to-unit communications outside their systems as well as the ability for interoperability between jurisdictions.
- o Security of messages and encryption of all phases of the communications links and systems cannot be compromised.
- 11. As the COPE petition points out, an allocation of spectrum as proposed by COPE is integral to the advancement of major policy initiatives of the Clinton Administration in the areas of crime control, energy conservation and management, emergency response and rescue, health care, pollution control and improved industrial productivity. Further, it is expected that these same or similar applications might be desired by Federal users, and COPE suggests that a shared government/non-government allocation would facilitate the development of equipment that could be used by either private sector users or Federal agencies.

12. The requested allocation would also meet the Congressional mandates of the 1993 Budget Reconciliation Act which direct that the FCC and NTIA perform joint spectrum planning with respect to "future spectrum requirements for public and private uses, including State and local government public safety agencies."

Summary

13. For the above reasons, the Major Cities Chiefs, along with IACP, support COPE's request for an allocation of spectrum below 3 GHz in the vicinity of the 2 GHz band, and its request that the spectrum be reallocated from Federal government in the processes called for in the 1993 Budget Act. Allocation of spectrum for the Advanced Private Land Mobile Communications Service on a shared Government/nongovernment basis would also be consistent with the Budget Act's provisions on "mixed-use" allocations.

Respectfully submitted,

Matt L. Rodrigue

Matt L. Rodriguez, Chairman Major Cities Chiefs of Police Superintendent, Chicago Police Department 1121 South State Street Chicago, Illinois 60605

Phone: 312 744 5506

June 15, 1994

⁷ The membership roster of the Major Cities Chiefs is attached as an addendum to these comments.

MAJOR CITY POLICE CHIEFS

PHOENIX, ARIZONA Chief Dennis A. Garrett 620 W. Washington 85003

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA Chief Willie L. Williams Parker Center 150 N. Los Angeles Street 90012

LOS ANGELES, CA. SHERIFF'S
DEPARTMENT
Sheriff Sherman Block
4700 Ramona Blvd.
Monterey Park, CA 91754-2169

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA Chief Jerry Sanders 1401 Broadway 92101-5729

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA Chief Tony Ribera 850 Bryant Street 94103

SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA Chief Louis A. Cobarruviaz 201 W. Mission Street 95110

DENVER, COLORADO Chief David Michaud 1331 Cherokee Street 80204

JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA Sheriff James E. McMillan 501 E. Bay Street 32202-2975

MIAMI, FLORIDA
Director Fred Taylor
Metro-Dade Police Department
9105 N.W. 25th St., Suite 3082
33172

ATLANTA, GEORGIA Chief?

HONOLULU, HAWAII
Chief Michael S. Nakamura
801 S. Beretania Street
96814

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
Supt. Matt Rodriquez
1121 S. State Street 60605

INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA Chief James D. Toler 50 N. Alabama Street 46204

NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA Supt. Joseph M. Orticke, Jr. 715 So. Broad AVenue 70119

BALTIMORE, MARYLAND Comm. Thomas C. Frazier 601 E. Fayette Street 21202

TOWSON, MARYLAND
Chief Michael D. Gambrill
Baltimore County Police Dept.
700 E. Joppa Road, 9th F1.
21286

BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS Commissioner Paul Evans 154 Berkley Street 02116

DETROIT, MICHIGAN
Chief Isaiah McKinnon
1300 Beaubien Street 48226

MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA Chief John T. Laux City Hall, Rm 130 350 South 5th Street 55415

KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI Chief Steven Bishop 1125 Locust 64106

ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI Chief Clarence Harmon Metropolitan Police Dept. 1200 Clark AVenue 63101

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA
Sheriff John T. Moran
Metropolitan Police Dept.
400 E. Stewart Street 89101

NEWARK, NEW JERSEY
Director William R. Celester
31 Green Street 07102

BUFFALO, NEW YORK
Comm. R. Gil Kerlikowske
74 Franklin Street 14202

Hŧ

MINEOLA, NEW YORK Commissioner Donald F. Kane Nassau County Police Dept. 1490 Franklin AVenue 11501

NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK Comm. William J. Bratton One Police Plaza 10038

YAPHANK, NEW YORK
Suffolk County Police Dept.
Comm. Peter F. Cosgrove
30 Yaphank Avenue 11980

CINCINNATI, OHIO
Chief Michael C. Snowden
310 Ezzard Charles Drive
45214

CLEVELAND, OHIO Chief Patrick Oliver 1300 Ontario Street 44113

COLUMBUS, OHIO Chief James G. Jackson 120 Marconi Blvd. 43215

OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA Chief Sam Gonzales 701 Colcord Drive 73102

TULSA, OKLAHOMA Chief Ronald Palmer 600 Civic Center 74103

PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA Commissioner Richard Neal Police Administration Bldg. 8th & Race Streets 19106

PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA Chief Earl Buford Public SAfety Building 100 Grant Street 15219

MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE
Director Melvin T. Burgess
201 Poplar Avenue 38103

NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE
Chief Robert E. Kirchner
Nashville Police Department
200 James Robertson Parkway
37201

AUSTIN, TEXAS Chief Elizabeth Watson 715 E. 8th Street 78701

DALLAS, TEXAS Chief Bennie R. Click 2014 Main Street 75201

FORT WORTH, TEXAS Chief Thomas Windham 350 W. Belknap Street 76102

HOUSTON, TEXAS Chief Samuel Nuchia 61 Riesner Street 77002

SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS
Chief William O. Gibson
San Antonio Police Department
214 W. Nueva Rm 214W 78207

SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH Chief Ruben B. Ortega 315 East 200 South 84111

WASHINGTON, D. C. Chief Fred Thomas 300 Indiana Avenue, M.W. 20001

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON Chief Norman Stamper 610 Third Avenue 98104

MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN Chief Philip Arreola P.O. Box 531 749 W. State Street 53201